

STATEMENT OF INTERVIEW

Applicant sincerely thanks Examiner Saint Cyr and Supervisory Patent Examiner Pendleton for conducting an in-person Examiner interview with Applicant's attorney, Bea Koempel-Thomas, on October 18, 2011.

During the interview the discussion focused on the pending rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 112 Second Paragraph, and 103.

Applicant's attorney understood the Examiners to agree that the amendments of claims 27, 37, 64, and 79 as filed on October 7, 2011, appeared to overcome the pending rejections under §§ 101 and 112.

During the discussion of distinctions between the claims and the cited documents Vasilevsky, Bowman, Ellis, and Yui, the Examiners suggested clarifying amendments to further highlight the claimed distinctions, which could be filed in a supplemental response. In accordance with the Examiners' suggestion, Applicant submits herewith a supplemental response presenting claim amendments consistent with the discussion during the interview.

Applicant thanks the Examiners for the courtesy shown in conducting the interview and earnestly solicits a prompt notice of allowance.